

## **Tiffany, Bruce**

---

**From:** Stern, Jeff  
**Sent:** Monday, June 05, 2006 12:32 PM  
**To:** Jennie Goldberg; Judith Noble; Stephen Karbowski; Martin Baker  
**Cc:** Tiffany, Bruce; Blakney, William; Johnson, Scott  
**Subject:** RE: which way do you like? SA/AOC slip 4

Seems reasonable but there is still no trigger for this consideration. As I read it we still have no say in the decision to move ahead. Is this what we want? If it is just a check in, I would prefer it to occur before EPA and Ecology make their decision.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jennie Goldberg [mailto:GoldbeJ@Seattle.Gov]  
Sent: Monday, June 05, 2006 10:14 AM  
To: Stern, Jeff; Judith Noble; Stephen Karbowski; Martin Baker  
Subject: Fwd: which way do you like? SA/AOC slip 4  
Importance: High

\*\* High Priority \*\*

I'm thinking we'd want the first version language just in case our analysis doesn't jive with EPA's \* we'd potentially have more control over the decision. Any different thoughts?

On the other hand, if our decision is not what the stakeholders want, then EPA and Ecology only are the bad guys.....

>>> <Keeley.Karen@epamail.epa.gov> 06/05 9:52 AM >>>

Following EPA and Ecology's assessment and before implementing cleanup actions the city of Seattle and King County will also consider whether or not source control action is adequate.

Karen, Kris: The last paragraph is what I got from Karen. Kris previously gave me:

Following EPA and Ecology's assessment and before implementing cleanup actions the city of Seattle and King County will be informed of the agencies' conclusions.

Karen Keeley  
Superfund Project Manager  
U.S. EPA Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, ECL-111  
Seattle, WA 98101

206-553-2141